Overall Evaluation Committee Scoring Record

Project Title:	Project Reference no:	Date:	Time:

Q	Assessment Criteria	Objective	Evaluation	Committee comments	Weighting	Sc	Score	
1	Has project development officer report indicated that any documentation / information requested has not been provided by the project promoter(s)	Ensure that the project promoter has provided all the required information / documentation requested by project development officer to progress this project.			N/A	Pass	Fail	
2	Displacement	Does the project comply with displacement requirements?			N/A	Pass	Fail	
3	Compatibility with Local Development Strategy (LDS)	Do the project proposals meet the criteria set out in the LAG's LDS for the relevant measure?						
4	Innovation	Is the project innovative in its nature?						
5	Project Promoter experience	Does the promoter have the training/skills, track record or experience to deliver?						
6	Financial Viability	Do the proposed actions and associated costs appear reasonable and represent value for money, and is adequate funding available to co-fund the project?						
7	Sustainability	Is the project viable and will the service continue to be delivered?						
8	Requirement	Does the proposal target a specific need or address a specific gap in the market?						
9	Deadweight	Would the project proceed without LEADER funding?	YES	NO	N/A	N/A		
	N.B. Prior to being recommended for appro	oval, projects must: - pass question 1 and 2 - answer no to	question 9 - receive a minin	num score of 65%.	Total:			
	Recomme	endation of the Evaluation Committee:			-	1		

Signature of Committee Chair: